


QUALITY PROFILES - SUMMARY GRAPH



Quality Groups:

(1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.

(3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.


(4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.

This plot is presented as an aggregate of data from the Phase I of evaluation for convenience of evaluators in the Phase II.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

QUALITY PROFILES



Quality Groups:

(1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.


(3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.

This plot is presented as an aggregate of data from the Phase I of evaluation for convenience of evaluators in the Phase II; the columns represent outputs (not productivity) and cannot be directly compared each other.


QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY JOURNALS - SUMMARY GRAPH



Number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators.


QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY JOURNALS



Number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators; the columns represent outputs (not productivity) and cannot be directly compared each other.

QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY INTENSITY OF CITATIONS - SUMMARY GRAPH




Number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY INTENSITY OF CITATIONS



Number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators; the columns represent outputs (not productivity) and cannot be directly compared each other.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department of Contemporary Continental Philosophy

Head: Mgr. Petr Urban, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 193 **Evaluated outputs :** 18 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 13 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	6	7	4	1	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHILOSOPHY	7
ETHICS	5
PSYCHOLOGY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department of Moral and Political Philosophy + Centre of Global Studies

Head: PhDr. Marek Hrubec, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 145

Evaluated outputs : 19 (0)

Outputs for bibliometry : 10

Large collaborations outputs: 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	4	5	7	3	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
ETHICS	9
ARCHAEOLOGY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department for the Study of Modern Rationality

Head: PhDr. Ing. Jiří Chotaš, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 82

Evaluated outputs : 13 (0)

Outputs for bibliometry : 5

Large collaborations outputs: 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	3	5	3	2	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field	Outputs
PHILOSOPHY	3
ETHICS	2

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department for the Study of Ancient and Medieval Thought

Head: Mgr. Petr Dvořák, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 174

Evaluated outputs : 20 (0)

Outputs for bibliometry : 9

Large collaborations outputs: 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	6	8	6	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHILOSOPHY	3
ETHICS	3
RELIGION	1
CLASSICS	1
HISTORY & PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department of Comenius Studies and Early Modern Intellectual History

Head: PhDr. Vladimír Urbánek, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 140 **Evaluated outputs :** 16 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 2 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	12	3	1	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
HISTORY	1
ART	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department for the Study of Modern Czech Philosophy

Head: Mgr. Ivan Landa, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 81 **Evaluated outputs :** 5 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 7 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	0	3	2	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
ETHICS	5
PHILOSOPHY	1
FOLKLORE	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: The Jan Patočka Archive

Head: ing. Ivan Chvatík, dr.h.c.

Total number of outputs : 48 **Evaluated outputs :** 8 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 2 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	2	2	3	1	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field	Outputs
PHILOSOPHY	2

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Centre for Classical Studies

Head: PhDr. Jiří Beneš

Total number of outputs : 164

Evaluated outputs : 29 (0)

Outputs for bibliometry : 8

Large collaborations outputs: 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	12	11	4	0	2


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
CLASSICS	6
RELIGION	1
MUSIC	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Centre for Medieval Studies

Head: prof. PhDr. Petr Sommer, CSc., DSc.

Total number of outputs : 206 **Evaluated outputs :** 18 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 3 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	9	4	5	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
ETHICS	2
HISTORY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Centre for Science, Technology, and Society Studies

Head: PhDr. Jan Balon, PhD.

Total number of outputs : 85 **Evaluated outputs :** 13 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 0 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	2	5	6	0	0

Quality Groups:

(1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.


(2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.

(3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this

Quality Profile



Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department of Analytic Philosophy

Head: prof. PhDr. Petr Kořátko, CSc.

Total number of outputs : 149 **Evaluated outputs :** 16 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 19 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	1	8	6	1	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHILOSOPHY	11
ETHICS	4
HISTORY & PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE	2
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
LANGUAGE & LINGUISTICS	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Department of Logic

Head: prof. RNDr. Jaroslav Peregrin, CSc.

Total number of outputs : 111 **Evaluated outputs :** 10 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 25 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	6	3	1	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
 (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
 (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHILOSOPHY	13
MATHEMATICS	6
HISTORY & PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE	2
COMPUTER SCIENCE, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE	1
ETHICS	1
LOGIC	1
LINGUISTICS	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.